CS11313 - Spring 2022 Design & Analysis of Algorithms

Divide and Conquer & Merge Sort Ibrahim Albluwi

Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!





Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!





You. But how do we find the max of each half? We now have 2 problems instead of one! Wise man. Do the same!

Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!



You. Now we have 4 problems instead of one! Wise man. Do the same!

Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!



You. Now we have 8 problems instead of one! Wise man. You are a lazy 21st century spoiled kid.



Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!



You. Oops! I know what the maximum of an array of size 1 is! Wise man. ...

Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!



Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!



Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!



Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!



Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!



You. ... Wise man. The max is 8

Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!



You. But this requires a lot of comparisons.

Problem. Given an array of *n* elements, find the maximum element.

Solution 1. Assume the first element is the max, compare the current max to each element in the array and update it if a larger element is found.

Number of compares = n - 1.

Solution 2 (by the old wise man). If you give me the max of the left half and the max of the right half, I can tell you the max of the whole array!



You. But this requires a lot of comparisons. Wise man. This requires $\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{4} + \frac{n}{8} + \dots + \frac{n}{n} \le n(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}) \le n$ compares!



Divide & Conquer

Divide and rule

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Divide and rule (Latin: *divide et impera*), or **divide and conquer**, in politics and sociology is gaining and maintaining power by breaking up larger concentrations of power into pieces that individually have less power than the one implementing the strategy.^[citation needed]



Tradition attributes the origin of the motto to Philip II of Macedon: Greek: διαίρει καὶ βασίλευε *diaírei kài basíleue*, in ancient Greek: «divide and rule»

Divide-and-conquer algorithm

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

In computer science, **divide and conquer** is an algorithm design paradigm based on multibranched recursion. A divide-and-conquer algorithm works by recursively breaking down a problem into two or more sub-problems of the same or related type, until these become simple enough to be solved directly. The solutions to the sub-problems are then combined to give a solution to the original problem.

This divide-and-conquer technique is the basis of efficient algorithms for all kinds of problems, such as sorting (e.g., quicksort, merge sort), multiplying large numbers (e.g. the Karatsuba algorithm), finding the closest pair of points, syntactic analysis (e.g., top-down parsers), and computing the discrete Fourier transform (FFT).^[1]

Divide-and-conquer algorithm

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

In computer science, **divide and conquer** is an algorithm design paradigm based on multibranched recursion. A divide-and-conquer algorithm works by recursively breaking down a problem into two or more sub-problems of the same or related type, until these become simple enough to be solved directly. The solutions to the sub-problems are then combined to give a solution to the original problem.

This divide-and-conquer technique is the basis of efficient algorithms for all kinds of problems, such as sorting (e.g., quicksort, merge sort), multiplying large numbers (e.g. the Karatsuba algorithm), finding the closest pair of points, syntactic analysis (e.g., top-down parsers), and computing the discrete Fourier transform (FFT).^[1]

- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.

- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.

- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.

- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.

- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.



- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.



- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.



- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.



- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.



- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.



- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.



- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.



- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.



- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.



- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.

```
MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)
   if first >= last
     return
   mid = first + (last - first) / 2
   MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)
                                                     recursively sort the
                                                      left and right halves
   MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)
  first
                                         last
                      mid
                        Ŧ
a[]
```

Basic Plan:

- Divide the array into two halves.
- Sort each half.
- Merge the two sorted halves.

```
MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)
   if first >= last
     return
   mid = first + (last - first) / 2
   MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)
   MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)
   MERGE(a, first, mid, last)
  first
                                      last
                     mid
                      T
a[]
```

merge the sorted halves

assuming a [] is passed by reference as in C++

Merge Sort Trace

MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)

if first >= last
 return

mid = first + (last - first) / 2

```
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)
MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)
```

```
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)
```


MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)

if first >= last
 return

mid = first + (last - first) / 2

MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)
MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)

```
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)
```



MERGE-SORT (a[], first, last)	3	8	7	1	4
if first >= last	F			m	
return	3	8	7	1	
mid = first + (last - first) / 2					
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)					
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>					
<pre>MERGE(a, first, mid, last)</pre>					

5 6 2

L

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
<pre>if first >= last</pre>	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F			L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)								
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
<pre>if first >= last</pre>	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)								
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)	2	Q						
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>	3	0						
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>	3	8						
	F	L,						
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)	3 F m	8 L						
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)	2	Q						
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>		0						
MEDGE (a finat wid last)	L W	L						
MERGE(a, TIRST, MID, LAST)	3							

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
<pre>if first >= last</pre>	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)	3 F m	8 L						
<pre>MERGE(a, first, mid, last)</pre>	3							
	FL							

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)	2	0						
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>	F m	o L						
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)	3	8						
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>	Fm							
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)		8						
		J						

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6
if first >= last	F			m			
return	3	8	7	1			
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L			
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)	3	8					
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>	Fm	L					
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)		0					
		0					

2

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)	2	8						
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>	5 E m							
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)	r III	1						

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>	3 F m	8 L						
<pre>MERGE(a, first, mid, last)</pre>								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6
if first >= last	F			m			
return	3	8	7	1			
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L			
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)							
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>							
<pre>MERGE(a, first, mid, last)</pre>							

2

L

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
<pre>if first >= last</pre>	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)			7	1				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>			1	T				
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	5	37	1	4	5	6	2
<pre>if first >= last</pre>	F	ł		m				L
return	3	5	3 7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	i n	1	L				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>			7	1				
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)			F	L				

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
<pre>if first >= last</pre>	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>			7 Fm	1 L				
<pre>MERGE(a, first, mid, last)</pre>								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)			7	1				
MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)			' Fm	L				
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)			7					

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>			7 Fm	1 L				
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)			7					
			FL					

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)			7	1				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>			Fm	L				
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
<pre>if first >= last</pre>	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)			7	1				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>			í Em	Ļ				
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)			F III	L 1				

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)			7	1				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>			' Fm					
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)				1				
				FL				

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	7	1				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>			7 Fm	1 L				
<pre>MERGE(a, first, mid, last)</pre>								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	1	7	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	1	7				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>			1	7				
			Fm	L				
<pre>MERGE(a, first, mid, last)</pre>								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	3	8	1	7	4	5	6	2
if first >= last	F			m				L
return	3	8	1	7				
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L				
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid) MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>								
<pre>MERGE(a, first, mid, last)</pre>								

<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	1	3	7	8	4	5	6
<pre>if first >= last</pre>	F			m			
return	1	3	7	8			
mid = first + (last - first) / 2	F	m		L			
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)							
<pre>MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>							
<pre>MERGE(a, first, mid, last)</pre>							

2

L

MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)

if first >= last
 return

mid = first + (last - first) / 2

MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)
MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)

```
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)
```







<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)</pre>	1	3	7	8	4	5	6
if first >= last	F			m			
return					4	5	6
mid = first + (last – first) / 2					4	5	6
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)					Δ	5	6
MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)					Т	5	0
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)					4	5	2

L



MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)

if first >= last
 return

mid = first + (last - first) / 2

```
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)
MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)
```

```
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)
```



```
MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)
```

if first >= last
 return

mid = first + (last - first) / 2

```
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)
MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)
```

```
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)
```



<pre>MERGE-SORT(a[],</pre>	first,	last)
		,

if first >= last
 return

mid = first + (last - first) / 2

```
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)
MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)
```

```
MERGE(a, first, mid, last)
```

3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
3	8	7	1	4	5	6	2
3	8	1	7	4	5	2	6
1	3	7	8	2	4	5	6
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Merging Sorted Arrays

Merging Sorted Arrays



Merged sorted array




0



0 1



0 1 1







0	1	1	1	2	















MERGE(a[], first, mid, last)

```
create array result[] of size (last - first + 1)
i = first, j = mid+1
```









```
create array result[] of size (last - first + 1)
i = first, j = mid+1
```





```
create array result[] of size (last - first + 1)
i = first, j = mid+1
for (k = 0; k < size; k++):</pre>
```





k ▼

MERGE(a[], first, mid, last)

```
create array result[] of size (last - first + 1)
i = first, j = mid+1
```

```
for (k = 0; k < size; k++):</pre>
   if i > mid: result[k] = a[j++]
   else if j > last: result[k] = a[i++]
                                                  we assume the
   else if a[i] <= a[j]: result[k] = a[i++]</pre>
                           result[k] = a[j++]
   else:
```

copy result[] into a[first ... last]

array result is local to the function and is deleted once the function terminates

Ĵ mid+1 first mid last

result[]

i

k



Number of Data Moves:



Number of Data Moves:

- Worst case: $2(s_1 + s_2)$ data moves.
- Best case: $2(s_1 + s_2)$ data moves.

all elements in both subarrays have to be copied to the merged array and then back to the original array



Number of Data Moves:

- Worst case: $2(s_1 + s_2)$ data moves.
- Best case: $2(s_1 + s_2)$ data moves.

Number of Data Compares:



Number of Data Moves:

- Worst case: $2(s_1 + s_2)$ data moves.
- Best case: $2(s_1 + s_2)$ data moves.

Number of Data Compares:

- Worst case: $s_1 + s_2 1$ compares (e.g. merge [1, 3, 5] with [0, 2, 4]).
- Best case: $\min(s_1, s_2)$ compares (e.g. merge [7, 8, 9, 10] with [0, 2]).



Number of Data Moves:

- Worst case: $2(s_1 + s_2)$ data moves.
- Best case: $2(s_1 + s_2)$ data moves.

Number of Data Compares:

- Worst case: $s_1 + s_2 1$ compares (e.g. merge [1, 3, 5] with [0, 2, 4]).
- Best case: $\min(s_1, s_2)$ compares (e.g. merge [7, 8, 9, 10] with [0, 2]).

For Merge Sort

 $\Theta(n)$ work is needed to merge two sorted arrays of size $\frac{n}{2}$ each.

(considering data compares and moves)

Number of Compares: $T(n) = T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n-1$ (in the worst case)

Number of Compares: $T(n) = T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n - 1$ (in the worst case) time to sort an array of size n







Number of Compares: $T(n) = T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n-1$ if n > 1(in the worst case)= 0if n < 1

Number of Compares: $T(n) = T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n-1$ if n > 1(in the worst case) = 0 if $n \le 1$

For simplicity. We will assume that the array size is a power of two and that the worst case number of compares to merge the two sorted halves = n:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le 1 \end{cases}$$

(These assumptions do not affect the correctness of the analysis)

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le 1 \end{cases}$$



$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le 1 \end{cases}$$



$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le 1 \end{cases}$$



$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le 1 \end{cases}$$



$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le 1 \end{cases}$$


$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le 1 \end{cases}$$



$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le 1 \end{cases}$$



$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le 1 \end{cases}$$



$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le 1 \end{cases}$$



$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Total Time =
$$n \log_2(n)$$



Data Compares:

- $\sim n \log_2(n)$ in the worst case
- $\sim \frac{1}{2}n\log_2(n)$ in the best case

Data Moves: ~ $2n \log_2(n)$ in the best, worst and average case

Total amount of work: $\Theta(n \log n)$ in the best case, worst case and average case.

Data Compares:

- $\sim n \log_2(n)$ in the worst case
- $\sim \frac{1}{2}n\log_2(n)$ in the best case

make sure you understand why and can do the analysis!

Data Moves: ~ $2n \log_2(n)$ in the best, worst and average case

Total amount of work: $\Theta(n \log n)$ in the best case, worst case and average case.

Data Compares:

- $\sim n \log_2(n)$ in the worst case
- $\sim \frac{1}{2}n\log_2(n)$ in the best case

Data Moves: ~ $2n \log_2(n)$ in the best, worst and average case

Total amount of work: $\Theta(n \log n)$ in the best case, worst case and average case.

Generally: Code that follows the pattern below has a running time of $\Theta(n \log n)$



Empirical Analysis

Running time estimates:

- Laptop executes 10⁸ compares/second.
- Supercomputer executes 10¹² compares/second.

	ins	ertion sort (n²)	mergesort (n log n)				
computer	thousand	million	billion	thousand	million	billion		
home	instant	2.8 hours	317 years	instant	1 second	18 min		
super	instant	1 second	1 week	instant	instant	instant		

Bottom line. Good algorithms are better than supercomputers.

By Kevin Wayne

Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!

Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!

Sorting a Linked List. Can be done using Merge Sort in $\Theta(n \log n)$ time and using $O(\log n)$ extra memory.



Merging two sorted linked lists

Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!



Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!



Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!



Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!



Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!



Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!



Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!



Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!



Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!



Definition. A sorting algorithm is **in-place** if it uses $O(\log n)$ extra space.

Memory Analysis. Merge Sort is not in-place:

- It requires Θ(n) extra space for the merge operation.
 (when merging the two halves of size ⁿ/₂ each)
- It also requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra memory for the recursion stack.
- An in-place implementation of Merge Sort is possible but difficult and probably not worth it!

Sorting a Linked List. Can be done using Merge Sort in $\Theta(n \log n)$ time and using $O(\log n)$ extra memory.



write a C++ program that merges two sorted linked lists without allocating any new node or deleting any node.



Merging two sorted linked lists

Merge Sort History

Introduced by John von Neumann in 1948 as an example of the algorithms that could be executed on his newly designed machine (EDVAC)



The image to the right is John von Neumann's handwritten code of merge sort in the manuscript titled "A First Draft of a Report on the EDVAC" (as reported by Knuth in the 1970 report titled "Von Neumann's First Computer Program")

(g) We now formulate a set of matructions to effect this 4 way decision between (x)-(S). We state again the contents of the short tanks already accordined: Ti) Nn'(-20) Zi) w m'(-20) Ji) w x Fi, w y m. Si) Nn(-20) Gi) w m(-20) Ti) w (x(-20) Bi) w /A(-30) $\overline{9}_{i}) \mathcal{N}_{(s_{i-20})} = \overline{10}_{i} \mathcal{N}_{i} \frac{1}{8(-20)} = \overline{11}_{i} \mathcal{N}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ Now Let the instructions oracy the (long tank) wordo 1, 2, ... : 1, T. - 5, 0) N m'-m (-10) 0) W 15 12) N 15 (-30) 0) W 15 (-30) 0) W 15 (-30) (-30) 2,) 9, 57, for n'in $3, \sigma \rightarrow \overline{1}$ for n' = n 4) 7, - 5, S,) TO, 5 8, 0) V 13 12.) V 13 13.) V 13 13. (-30) for m' = m 6,) O + 12, for n' = m 7,) 2,-8, 0) Wm'-m (-20) 8,) 13, + 4, for m' ? m i.e. 0) N 13 14 15 14 (-30) for min, alon m'an, n'an $9_i) \sigma \rightarrow \overline{\Pi_i} = \overline{\Pi_i} |_{a_i | a_i | a_i | a_i} \rightarrow \ell$ i.e for (21(B), respectively. for (4), (3), (1)), respectively 10,) II,-> C allite particulation for the Now II,) 10, 10, 4, 11 → C for (2), 13(1), respectively. Thus at the end of this phase & is at 1. 1, 1, 18, 18, 18, according to which case (2), (3) (1) holds. (h) We now pass to the case (a). This has and 2 subcases (x.) and (az), according to whether x: ? or < y ... According to which of the 2 subcases holds, I must be sent to the place where its instructions begin, any the (long tank) words In, las. Their numbers must a the inglanding and a first to to to the FIG. 1. The original manuscript.

Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

```
MERGE-SORT(a[], first, last)

if last - first + 1 <= CUTOFF:
    insertion-Sort(a, first, last)
    return

mid = first + (last - first) / 2
MERGE-SORT(a, first, mid)
MERGE-SORT(a, mid + 1, last)</pre>
```

MERGE(a, first, mid, last)



Too many recursive calls at the leafs

Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)



Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

• Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.



Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.



Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.

1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	2	3	8	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	8	4	5	6	7
1	3	2	8	6	7	4	5	1	3	2	8	6	7	4	5
1	3	8	2	7	6	4	5	1	3	8	2	7	6	4	5

Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.



Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.



Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.



Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.



Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.



Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.



Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.


Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.



Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.



Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.

1	2	3	4	6	8	9	1	3	5	8	2	4	5	6	7
1	3	8	9	2	4	6	5	1	3	8	2	7	4	5	6

Use *insertion sort* for small Arrays. Avoids spending a lot of time on many expensive recursive calls at the lower levels of the recursion tree.

Avoid recursion altogether! (Bottom-up Merge Sort)

- Iteratively merge all subarrays of size 1, to get sorted arrays of size 2 each.
- Iteratively merge all the sorted arrays of size 2 to get sorted arrays of size 4.
- Repeat for arrays size 4, 8, 16, etc.

1	2	3	4	6	8	9	1	3	5	8	2	4	5	6	7
1	3	8	9	2	4	6	5	1	3	8	2	7	4	5	6

- Improves the performance in the best case.
- Performs well on partially sorted data and other special types of data.
- Requires extra data compares to identify the sorted runs.

Timsort

- Introduced by Tim Peters in 2002 for use in the Python Programming Language.
- Bottom-up Merge Sort that exploits natural runs, uses insertion sort in addition to other optimizations.
- Performs well on many kinds of real-world data.





Intro

This describes an adaptive, stable, natural mergesort, modestly called timsort (hey, I earned it <wink>). It has supernatural performance on many kinds of partially ordered arrays (less than lg(N!) comparisons needed, and as few as N-1), yet as fast as Python's previous highly tuned samplesort hybrid on random arrays.

In a nutshell, the main routine marches over the array once, left to right, alternately identifying the next run, then merging it into the previous runs "intelligently". Everything else is complication for speed, and some hard-won measure of memory efficiency.

https://bugs.python.org/file4451/timsort.txt

Analysis Question

How can we *merge* three sorted arrays into one sorted array?

How can we *merge* three sorted arrays into one sorted array?

Solution 1

Given three sorted arrays *A*, *B* and *C* of size $\frac{n}{3}$ each, merge *A* and *B* into a new array named *AB* and then merge *AB* and *C*.



Worst case number of compares:

- To merge A and B: $\frac{1}{3}n + \frac{1}{3}n = \frac{2}{3}n$
- To merge AB and C: $\frac{2}{3}n + \frac{1}{3}n = n$

• Total =
$$\frac{2}{3}n + \frac{3}{3}n = \frac{5}{3}n$$

Solution 2

Use three pointers to implement an algorithm similar to the one described before for merging two sorted arrays.



- Two comparisons are needed to find the minimum of three numbers.
- In the worst case, no array will be completely copied much earlier than the other two arrays.
- The total worst case number of compares $\sim 2n$

Analysis Question

Which requires less comparisons in the worst case: 2-way merge sort or 3-way merge sort?

Analysis Question

Which requires less comparisons in the worst case: 2-way merge sort or 3-way merge sort?

Solution.

- **2-way** merge sort requires $\sim n \log_2(n)$ compares in the worst case.
- **3-way** merge sort requires in the worst case:
 - If **solution 1** is used:

$$\sim \frac{5}{3}n\log_3(n) = \frac{5}{3}n\frac{\log_2(n)}{\log_2(3)} = 1.05n\log_2(n)$$

• If **solution 2** is used:

$$\sim 2n \log_3(n) = 2n \frac{\log_2(n)}{\log_2(3)} \approx 1.26n \log_2(n)$$

2-way merge sort requires less comparisons! In fact, the number of compares done by 2-way merge sort is optimal.

Interview Question

How can we shuffle a Linked List in $O(n \log n)$ time and using $O(\log n)$ extra memory?

Goal. Rearrange the elements in the linked list such that all possible *n*! permutations are equally likely.

Interview Question

How can we shuffle a Linked List in $O(n \log n)$ time and using $O(\log n)$ extra memory?

Goal. Rearrange the elements in the linked list such that all possible *n*! permutations are equally likely.

Shuffle when sorting

Use Merge Sort. Instead of comparing elements during the *merge* operation to decide on which list to copy from, flip a coin to pick randomly a list to copy from.

Interview Question

How can we shuffle a Linked List in $O(n \log n)$ time and using $O(\log n)$ extra memory?

Goal. Rearrange the elements in the linked list such that all possible *n*! permutations are equally likely.

Shuffle when sorting

Use Merge Sort. Instead of comparing elements during the *merge* operation to decide on which list to copy from, flip a coin to pick randomly a list to copy from.

Note. If shuffling does not have to be in-place, we can copy the elements to an array, use Knuth's Shuffle to shuffle the array (runs in $\Theta(n)$), and then copy the elements back to the linked list.

```
for i = last down to 1:
j = random integer (0 <= j <= i)
swap a[i] with a[j]</pre>
```

Images: https://static0l.nyt.com/images/2012/05/06/books/review/06POUNDSTONE/06POUNDSTONEsuperJumbo.jpg?quality=75&auto=webp https://miro.medium.com/max/1400/0*Vm6RJ1W0oroOuNEw.jpg http://public.callutheran.edu/~reinhart/CSC521MSCS/Week5/KnuthVonNeumann.pdf https://image.shutterstock.com/image-vector/cartoon-character-old-wiseman-260nw-600200147.jpg

These slides are partially based on: https://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/fall21/cos226/lectures/22Mergesort.pdf